IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARLOS H. PEREZ,	Case No. C08-01972-JW-HRL
Plaintiff, v. GMAC MORTGAGE USA CORPORATION, et al.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendants.	
Counsel report that they have met and of stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and AD	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following R L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	g ADR process:
more likely to meet their needs that any oth conference and may not file this form. The Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and AD Private Process:	ment conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably her form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone y must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone
referring the case to an ADR pro	(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order occess unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: October 14, 2008	CONSUMER LAW CENTER, INC.
	By: /s/ Fred W. Schwinn Fred W. Schwinn, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Carlos H. Perez

Dated: October 14, 2008

LOCKE LORD BISSELL & LIDDELL, LLP

By: /s/ James Matthew Goodin

James Matthew Goodin, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants GMAC Mortgage USA Corp.; Executive Trustee Service, LLC; and Homecomings Financial LLC

Dated: October 14, 2008

WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP

By: /s/ Sonia A. Plesset

Sonia A. Plesset, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant South Pacific Financial Corporation

Dated: October 14, 2008

RAGGHIANTI FREITAS, LLP

By: /s/ Sarah N. Leger

Sarah N. Leger, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants Residential Mortgage Capital and James John Chapman

Dated: October 14, 2008

SEVERSON & WERSON, APC

By: /s/ Sunny S. Huo

Sunny S. Huo, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant GreenPoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.

JW [**PROPOSE**D] ORDER

	Pursuant to th	ne Stipulation above, the of Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation Private ADR	
	Deadline for A	ADR session 90 days from the date of other	f this order.
	IT IS SO ORI	DERED.	
Dated:	Octobe	er 27,2008	ONTED STATES DISTRICTAMAGISTRATE JUDGE